

### Long-term drug therapy and drug discontinuation for fracture prevention

Suzanne Morin MD MSc Division of General Internal Medicine, McGill University Centre for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, RI MUHC

Annual Refresher Course for Family Physicians, McGill; October 2019

## Disclosures

### Research Grants:

- Industry partnership grant with CIHR, GreyBox and Amgen
  - HIP MOBILE study



### Objectives

As a result of attending this session, participants will be able to:

- Discuss the benefits and harms associated with longer term antiosteoporosis pharmacotherapy
- Discuss the effects of stopping anti-osteoporosis pharmacotherapy (drug holiday )on fracture risk
- Integrate monitoring strategies for patients who are on pharmacotherapy or off pharmacotherapy (drug holiday) for fracture prevention

### Osteoporotic Fractures: A Canadian Perspective- Public Health Agency of Canada



Age-specific annual OP related fracture rates among people aged 40 years and older by fracture site and sex, Canada\*, 2011/12

### In Canada in 2014-15:



\*Fracture includes hip, forearm, spine, humeral or pelvis.

Data were not available from: YT for fractures; YT and SK for new OP diagnosis; YT, NU, SK, and NS for new BMD test; and YT, NT, SK, and NB for new OP medication prescription. **Source:** Public Health Agency of Canada using Canadian Chronic Disease Surveillance System data files contributed by provinces and territories, July 2018.

## Ms FFx

- 80 y old
- ▶ HBP controlled; Remote CVA no residual deficit
- Long standing seizure disorder; well controlled with carbamazepine



## Antiresorptive and Anabolic Bone Drugs for OP



SN Morin

# Efficacy of Approved Anti-resorptive Medications for the Treatment of Osteoporosis in women (RCT)

| Fracture Type                                    | Relative and Absolute Risk Differences (95% CI) |                                       |                                     |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| RVF  | Lower risk                                      | RR 0.53 (0.41 to 0.68)                | ARD -12% (-15% to -10%)             |  |  |
| Clinical fracture                                | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.72 (0.58 to 0.90)                | ARD -5% (-8% to -1%)                |  |  |
| Nonvertebral fracture                            | No significant<br>difference                    | HR 0.80 (0.63 to 1.01)                | ARD -2.8% (-5.7% to 0.2%)           |  |  |
| Hip fracture                                     | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.49 (0.23 to 0.99)                | ARD -1.1% (-2.2% to 0.0%)           |  |  |
| RCT <sup>2</sup> of alendronate vs. pl           | o for 4y in 1631 PM wor                         | nen aged 54-81 without RVF and T-sc   | ore ≤-2.5 (subgroup analysis)       |  |  |
| Fracture Type                                    | Relative and Absolute Risk Differences (95% CI) |                                       |                                     |  |  |
| RVF  | Lower risk                                      | RR 0.50 (0.31 to 0.82)                | ARD -3% (-5% to -1%)                |  |  |
| Clinical fracture                                | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.64 (0.50 to 0.82)                | ARD -7% (-10% to -3%)               |  |  |
| Hip fracture                                     | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.44 (0.18 to 0.97)                | ARD -1.2% (-2.4% to 0.0%)           |  |  |
| RCT <sup>8</sup> of risedronate vs. pb<br>factor | o for 3y in 5445 PM wom                         | en aged 70-79 with T-score <-4.0 or   | -score <-3.0 plus 1 nonskeletal ris |  |  |
| Fracture Type                                    | Relative and Absolute Risk Differences (95% CI) |                                       |                                     |  |  |
| Hip fracture                                     | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.60 (0.60 to 0.90)                | ARD -1.0% (-1.8% to -0.2%)          |  |  |
| RCT <sup>4</sup> of risedronate vs. pb           | o for 3y in 2458 PM wom                         | en aged <85 with ≥2 RVF or 1 RVF an   | d T-score ≤-2.0                     |  |  |
| Fracture Type                                    |   | Relative and Absolute Risk Differe    | nces (95% CI)                       |  |  |
| RVF  | Lower risk                                      | RR 0.59 (0.43 to 0.82)                | ARD -6% (-9% to -2%)                |  |  |
| Nonvertebral fracture                            | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.60 (0.39 to 0.94)                | ARD -2% (-5% to 0%)                 |  |  |
| RCT <sup>5</sup> of zoledronate vs. pt           | o for 3y in 3889 PM won                         | nen aged <85 with T-score ≤-2.5 or ≥2 | RVF and T-score ≤-1.5               |  |  |
| Fracture Type                                    | Relative and Absolute Risk Differences (95% Cl) |                                       |                                     |  |  |
| RVF  | Lower risk                                      | RR 0.30 (0.24 to 0.38)                | ARD -8% (-9% to -6%)                |  |  |
| Clinical fracture                                | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.67 (0.58 to 0.37)                | ARD -4% (-5% to -3%)                |  |  |
| Nonvertebral fracture                            | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.75 (0.64 to 0.87)                | ARD -3% (-4% to -1%)                |  |  |
| Hip fracture                                     | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.59 (0.42 to 0.83)                | ARD -0.9% (-1.5% to -0.3%)          |  |  |

| Fracture Type                          | Relative and Absolute Risk Differences (95% CI) |                                       |                            |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| RVF                                    | Lower risk                                      | RR 0.32 (0.26 to 0.41)                | ARD -5% (-6% to -4%)       |  |
| Nonvertebral fracture                  | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.80 (0.67 to 0.95)                | ARD -1.4% (-2.5% to -0.3%) |  |
| Hip fracture                           | Lower risk                                      | HR 0.60 (0.37 to 0.97)                | ARD -0.4% (~0.8% to 0.0%)  |  |
| RCT <sup>8</sup> of raloxifene vs. pbo | for 3y in 7705 PM wome                          | n aged 31-80 with T-score ≤-2.5 or R\ | /F                         |  |
| Fracture Type                          |   | Relative and Absolute Risk Differe    | nces (95% CI)              |  |
| RVF                                    | Lower risk                                      | RR 0.70 (0.50 to 0.80)                | ARD -4% (-5% to -2%)       |  |
| Nonvertebral fracture                  | No significant                                  | HR 0.90 (0.80 to 1.10)                | ARD -0.8% (-2.2% to 0.6%)  |  |

RVF: radiographic vertebral fractures ARD Absolute risk difference RR Relativerisk HR: Hazard ratio

### Osteoporosis Duration of Treatment: debate unique among chronic disease management

- How well does a specific drug maintain its anti-fracture efficacy with long term use?
- How does the duration of medication influence the risk of rare side effects such as atypical femur fractures (AFF) and osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ)
- How persistent is the anti-fracture efficacy of a specific drug after it is discontinued?

# Longer term therapy (> 3years)

Efficacy: Anti-Fracture Benefits:

### Alendronate vs PBO x 4 years

- Lower risk in RVF HR 0.56 (95% CI: 0.39-0.80)
- No difference in clinical fractures, hip fractures

### Zoledronic Acid vs PBO x 6 years

- Clinical fractures: HR 0.73 (95% CI: 0.60-0.90); ARD: 5%
- Non vertebral fractures: HR: 0.66 (95% CI: 0.51-0.85); ARD 5%
- Clincal vertebral fractures: 0.41 (95% CI: 0.22-0.75) ; ARD 2%

### Raloxifene vs PBO 4 to 8 years

Clinical vertebral fractures: RR 0.58 (95% CI: 0.43-0.79); ARD 2%

### Extension studies:

- Alendronate (FLEX): 10 y, Zoledronic Acid: 9 years
- Denosumab 10 years

#### Harms:

- RCTs: AFF and ONJ too few
- Cohort studies:
  - > AFF and ONJ incidence increases with duration of therapy.
  - AFF: ++ Bisphosphonates (110 per 100,00 person years with treated for 9 years+); + Denosumab
  - > ONJ: very rare in OP population

### Duration of Therapy What do Guidelines currently Recommend ?

- Osteoporosis Canada Individuals at high risk for fracture should continue osteoporosis therapy without a drug holiday--Guidelines currently being updated, to be published in 2020
- The ACP guidelines (2017) recommend therapy with bisphosphonates or denosumab for 5 years to reduce hip and vertebral fractures – but suggest that high risk patients may benefit from longer treatment
- The NOF guidelines (2014) recommend an initial treatment with bisphosphonates of 3 to 5 years and those at high risk should continue treatment
- An ASBMR task force (2016) recommends an initial 5 years of oral bisphosphonate therapy or 3 years of iv therapy followed by continued therapy up to 10 years (oral) or 6 years (IV) in those at high risk (low hip Tscore, previous MOF or fracture while on therapy or older women)



## Mrs FFx

- 83 y old
- Tolerating bisphosphonate well
- Usually does not forget to take her medication
- Walks when the weather is nice and attends an exercise class twice a week
- She has fallen twice in the last 6 months- no injuries
- Her weight is stable

| L2-L4 | 19/Apr/2016 | 83.4 | Osteoporosis | -3.0 | 0.843 g/cm <sup>2</sup> | 2.2%  | - |
|-------|-------------|------|--------------|------|-------------------------|-------|---|
| Neck  | 19/Apr/2016 | 83.4 | Osteoporosis | -3.2 | 0.601 g/cm <sup>2</sup> | -2.6% |   |

# Objective 2\_Drug Holiday (temporary discontinuation)

### Interruption of therapy after initial treatment: to reduce risk of Harms

- Who- When- For how long ?
- What would be the criteria for resuming therapy

| Annals of Internal Medicine  | Review  |           |
|--|---|-----------|
| Osteoporosis Fracture Preventio<br>A Systematic Review<br>Howard A. Fink, MD, MPH; Roderick MacDonald, MS; Mary<br>Kristine E. Ensrud, MD, MPH; John T. Schousboe, MD, PhD;                            |   |           |
|  | Osteoporosis International<br>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4791-3   |           |
|  | ORIGINAL ARTICLE  | CrossMark |
|  | A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect<br>drug holidays on bone mineral density and osteop<br>S. Nayak <sup>1</sup> · S. L. Greenspan <sup>2</sup> |           |
| Osteoporosis International (2019) 30:1733-1743<br>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-019-05002-w   |   |           |
| original article<br>Fracture risk following intermission of  | osteoporosis therapy  |           |
| E.M. Dennison <sup>1</sup> • C. Cooper <sup>1,2</sup> • J.A. Kanis <sup>3,4</sup> • O. Bruyère <sup>5</sup> •<br>D. Prieto-Alhambra <sup>10,11</sup> • S. Ferrari <sup>12</sup> • On behalf of the IOF |   |           |
| Received: 6 February 2019 / Accepted: 26 March 2019 / Published online: 7 Ju<br>© International Osteoporosis Foundation and National Osteoporosis Foundation   |   |           |

# Effects of Bisphosphonate Discontinuation vs Continuation on Incident fractures

| Drug:<br>Continuation vs<br>Discontinuation     | Fracture<br>outcome       | Relative Risk<br>(95% Cl) | Absolute Risk<br>Difference | Strength of<br>Evidence |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Alendronate (N=1099)<br>(10 y vs 5y+ 5y PBO)    | Clinical                  | 0.93 (0.71-1.21)          | -1% (-6- 4%)                | moderate                |
|   | Non vertebral             | 1.00 (0.76-1.32)          | -1% (-5 - 5%)               | moderate                |
|   | <b>Clinical Vertebral</b> | 0.45 (0.24-0.85)          | -3% (-50.5%)                | moderate                |
|   | R Vertebral               | 0.86 (0.60-1.22)          | -1% (-5- 2%)                | moderate                |
| Zoledronic Acid (N=1233)<br>(6 y vs 3y +3y PBO) | Clinical                  | 1.04 (0.71-1.54)          | NA                          | moderate                |
|   | Non vertebral             | 0.99 (0.7- 1.5)           | -0.3% (-3- 35)              | moderate                |
|   | <b>Clinical Vertebral</b> | 1.81 ( 0.53- 6.2)         | NA                          | insufficient            |
|   | R Vertebral               | 0.51 (0.26-0.95)          | -3% <b>(-61%)</b>           | low                     |

Fink HA Ann Int Med 2019. 171(1):37-50

### Denosumab Discontinuation and Rebound-associated Vertebral Fractures

Vertebral Fractures After Discontinuation of Denosumab: A Post Hoc Analysis of the Randomized Placebo-Controlled FREEDOM Trial and Its Extension



### **KEY POINTS**

- Denosumab, a well-tolerated, injectable inhibitor of osteoclastmediated bone resorption, has been shown in randomized controlled trials to reduce significantly the risk of vertebral and nonvertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis.
- Recent evidence shows that patients previously treated with denosumab who discontinue the drug have an increased risk for rebound vertebral fractures, which are often multiple and may occur as soon as eight months after the last injection of the drug.
- When prescribing denosumab, clinicians should consider the patient's ability to adhere to regular dosing and counsel the patient against discontinuation without medical consultation.

Important to review risk and benefits of use upon initiation Preliminary data supporting that one year of alendronate or iv zoledronic acid is protective of rebound bone loss

# Predicting Fracture Risk during a bisphosphonate holiday in the FLEX study

- BP therapy reduces fractures but to minimize risk of rare side effects, drug holidays (temporary stopping of BP) have been proposed. However, no specific tools are available to quantify fracture risk following BP discontinuation and therefore selection for drug holidays remains subjective.
- FLEX was a randomized trial for an alendronate (ALN) drug holiday after 5 years of initial ALN in the FIT trial. To develop a fracture risk equation after stopping ALN, we used data from the 408 patients randomized to placebo in FLEX.
- A predictive model was created
- Potential risk factors included age, BMD, bone turnover markers (BTM) and fracture history all measured before, during and after the initial 5 year ALN treatment. We compared the results of our risk prediction model to results that could be achieved using FRAX (10-yr MOF) at FLEX baseline.
- > Significant predictors in the final FLEX multivariate (MV) model included BMD, age and vertebral fracture status all measured at FLEX baseline.
- Factors that were not significant included BTMs (at any time), BMD change and fractures during FIT and other factors.
- FRAX MOF risk to be equal or superior to the FLEX equation for predicting risk for clinical vertebral and as well as nonspine and hip fracture.
- After 5 years of alendronate individuals with FRAX 10 year MOF risk above about 23% identifies a high risk group that will likely benefit from an additional 5 years of ALN.

Black D et al ASBMR annual meeting September 2019

# Objective 3\_Monitoring while on therapy

- Does monitoring, **while on therapy**, lead to a change in **fracture** outcomes within the treated population?
- Risk factors (FRAX risk score)
- BMD
- Bone turnover markers
  - Formation (osteocalcin)
  - Resorption (C-telopeptide)
- OC: BMD between 1 to 3 years
- ACP: No monitoring while on therapy
- NOF: BMD every 2 years, BTMs may be helpful

### BMD Change and Fracture Risk



#### Table 5. Estimated Fracture Risk Reduction Associated With BMD Improvement

|                    | Vertebral<br>fracture | Hip<br>fracture | Nonvertebral<br>fracture |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Δ Total hip BMD    |                       |                 |                          |
| 2%                 | 28%                   | 16%             | 10%                      |
| 4%                 | 51%                   | 29%             | 16%                      |
| 6%                 | 66%                   | 40%             | 21%                      |
| ∆ Femoral neck BMD |                       |                 |                          |
| 2%                 | 28%                   | 15%             | 1196                     |
| 4%                 | 55%                   | 32%             | 19%                      |
| 6%                 | 72%                   | 46%             | 27%                      |
| ∆ Lumbar spine BMD |                       |                 |                          |
| 2%                 | 28%                   | 22%             | 11%                      |
| 8%                 | 6296                  | 38%             | 21%                      |
| 1496               | 79%                   | 5196            | 30%                      |
|                    |                       |                 |                          |

BMD = bone mineral density.

Fig. 1. Association between treatment-related differences in BMD (Active-Placebo, in %) and reduction in vertebral fracture risk. Individual trials are represented by circles with areas that are approximately proportional to the number of fractures in the trial. Drugs of the same class are represented by the symbols of the same color.

### BTMs Change and Fracture Risk



Fig. 1. The relationship between the odds unto its vertebral functurel or the relative hazard (for nonvertebral and hip flacturel and the difference between transmert and placebo group in posterratege change in BTM for the two bore formation markers. Larger circles indicate studies with more fractame, and the line represent log relative mark plotted against prevent change.

Fig. 3. The relationship between the odds ratis (for sentence) in the relative hazard (for convertelent and top fracture) and the difference fortherm fractment and plautio group in proceedings in ETM for the two how resultion markers. Larger (index index index with more flactures, and the live represents) kay relative sing initial against permit therein.

# Monitoring while Off Therapy

- Does an observed increase/decrease (vs stability) in a monitoring parameter during the drug holiday following bisphosphonate therapy predict a difference in fractures?
- Risk factors (FRAX risk score)
- BMD
- Bone turnover markers
  - Formation (osteocalcin)
  - Resorption (C-telopeptide)

# Short-term (1 and 2 years) monitoring during drug holiday (OFF Rx) with BMD and BTMs

Doug Bauer, 2014, FLEX trial, 437 Women, Alendronate 4 or 5 years to PBO

| Tertile with greatest change vs other 2 tertiles | Risk of Fracture<br>HR (95% CI)<br>2 years off Rx |
|--|---|
| BMD decrease                                     |   |
| F Neck   | 1.51 (0.93- 2.44)                                 |
| Total Hip  | 1.56 (0.97-2.52)                                  |
| ≥3% decrease                                     |   |
| F Neck   | 1.45 (0.90-2.35)                                  |
| Total Hip  | 1.68 (1.05-2.72)                                  |
| BTM increase                                     |   |
| Resorption marker (NTx)                          | 1.14 (0.70-1.86)                                  |
| Formation marker (BAP)                           | 1.03 (0.63-1.67)                                  |

BMD and older age at the time of discontinuation of alendronate were associated with the risk of fracture after discontinuation BTMs at the time of discontinuation of alendronate were not associated with subsequent fracture risk

## Mrs FFx

- 86 y old
- Has stopped taking her bisphosphonate 2 years ago
- Continues to walk and go to her class.
- No new medications or health issues
- Takes a vitamin D supplement
- She has gained 1 kg in the last year

| L2-L4 | 30/Apr/2019 | 86.4 | Osteoporosis | -6.5 | 0.425 g/cm <sup>2</sup> | -36.0% | Yes |
|-------|-------------|------|--------------|------|-------------------------|--------|-----|
| Neck  | 30/Apr/2019 | 86.4 | Osteoporosis | -3.1 | 0.608 g/cm <sup>2</sup> | -2.6%  | -   |

## Key Learning Points: Evidence

- There is moderate-high strength evidence that longer term use (>3 years) of bisphosphonates and denosumab reduces fractures
- There is <u>moderate strength</u> evidence that longer term use (>5 years) of bisphosphonates associates with increased harm, namely AFF (rare) and ONJ (very rare)
- There is low to moderate strength evidence that drug holidays do not increase fracture risk in women who do not have very low BMD or have a high FRAX score at end of treatment period (5 years of alendronate or 3 years of zoledronic acid)
- There is low to moderate strength evidence that supports monitoring patients with BMD while on therapy at an interval of 2-3 years
- There is <u>insufficient</u> evidence to recommend a monitoring strategy for patients while on drug holiday or as to when anti-osteoporosis treatment might be

resumed

### Key Learning Points: Recommendations for Fracture Prevention

- Objective 1: Discuss the benefits and harms associated with longer term anti-osteoporosis pharmacotherapy
- Treatment should be initiated promptly in patients (50+) deemed to be at higher for fractures based on a fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX or CAROC): post-fracture, initiation of high dose glucocorticoids, older frail patients using a bisphosphonate or denosumab
- Duration of initial treatment should be 5 years
- A multi-faceted approach including exercise, balance-gait training and nutrition recommendations MUST be part of the management plan with referral to experts as required
- ► AFF and ONJ are <u>very</u>, <u>very</u> rarely seen in patients who have been ≤ 5 years of bisphosphonates

### Key Learning Points: Recommendations for Fracture Prevention

- <u>Objective 2</u>: Discuss the effects of stopping anti-osteoporosis pharmacotherapy (drug holiday )on fracture risk
- Drug holiday from bisphosphonates should be considered after 3 to 5 years on therapy if the "bone health markers" have stabilized or improved.
- In those who have very low femoral neck BMD, have sustained a recent (< 5 years) major osteoporotic fracture (hip, spine, humerus, pelvis), or who have a FRAX MOF risk of 23% or more, treatment should be prolonged with periodic evaluation for harms
- Drug holiday should last 2 to 3 years, assuming no recurrence in fractures or major change in clinical risk profile
- Standard drug holiday is for bisphosphonate treatment not for
- denosumab.

### Key Learning Points: Recommendations for Fracture Prevention

- Objective 3: Integrate monitoring strategies for patients who are on pharmacotherapy or off pharmacotherapy (drug holiday) for fracture prevention
- While on therapy, patients can be monitored with a BMD ~ 24 months following initiation of treatment
- While on drug holiday, monitoring BMD or BTMs change is NOT helpful in predicting those who will fracture
- Fracture risk should be reassessed after 2 or 3 years after discontinuation. Treatment can resume with a bisphosphonate, if no contraindication.

## QUESTIONS?



## References

- Canadian Osteoporosis Management Guidelines
  - Papaioannou A et al CMAJ 2010 182: 1864-71
- American College of Physicians Guidelines
  - Annals of Internal Medicine 2017; 166: 818-839
- Beyond the Guidelines: How would you manage this patient with osteoporosis?
  - Burns RB et al Ann of Int Medicine 2018; 168: 801-808
- Bisphophonates for Osteoporosis
  - Ensrud K and Crandall CJ JAMA October 17 2019
- Long-term Drug therapy and Drug discontinuations and Holidays for Osteoporosis Fracture Prevention
  - Fink HA Ann Int Med 2019. 171(1):37-50
- Clinical Use of Bone Turnover Markers
  - Bauer DC JAMA epub July 11 2019
- Warning of increased risk of vertebral fracture after stopping denosumab
  - Symonds C and Kline G CMAJ April 23 2018